

Atomic data activities by the OP and IP consortia: past, present and new perspectives within the VAMDC

Mendoza^{1,2}, Claudio; Núñez^{2,3}, Luis A.

¹ Centro de Física, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC),
PO Box 20632, Caracas 1020A, Venezuela.

² Centro Nacional de Cálculo Científico Universidad de Los Andes (CeCalCULA),
Corporación Parque Tecnológico de Mérida, Mérida 5101, Venezuela.

³ Centro de Física Fundamental, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Los Andes,
Mérida 5101, Venezuela.

February 2010

Review to be published in *Acta Científica Venezolana*

Abstract

The Opacity Project (OP) and Iron Project (IP) are pioneering international collaborations which have been computing, for more than 25 years, massive atomic data sets for astrophysical applications. We review the data activities that have been carried out, namely curation, analysis and preservation, and the development of databases and computer tools for data dissemination and end-user processing. New opportunities within the current data-intensive boom referred to as e-science are described, in particular the Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Center (VAMDC) that has been recently launched to consolidate and promote atomic and molecular database services. **Key words:** atomic data; Opacity Project; Iron Project; laboratory astrophysics; databases; e-science; virtual data centers.

Introduction

It is an honor to join the celebrations of the 50th Anniversary of the Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC) by contributing with a review of the data activities of the Opacity Project (OP) [137, 138] and Iron Project (IP) [74]. Since the beginning of the 80s (OP) and through the 90s (IP), these international consortia have been dedicated to the computation of massive atomic data sets for astrophysical applications. In reference to the title, by data activities we mean compilations, databases and data curation, analysis, dissemination and preservation. They have been supported by the Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg (CDS¹), France, the Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC²), USA, the Centro Científico de IBM de Venezuela, the Centro Nacional de Cálculo Científico de la Universidad de Los Andes (CeCalCULA³) and the Centro de Física⁴ of IVIC.

It is also timely to pay our respects to Mike Seaton⁵, the leader of the OP and a central reference to the IP, who passed away in May 2007. As can be appreciated from the long lists of publications, both the OP⁶ and IP⁷ have been widely reviewed, most recently in the meeting “Atoms and Astrophysics: Mike Seaton’s Legacy” held at University College London, UK, on 14–15 April 2008, to reminisce over his monumental scientific contributions. A collection of the papers presented in this event, edited by Pete Storey and Phil Burke (see Ref. [131] and references therein), acclaims the OP to be among his major lifetime projects. What perhaps have been overlooked thus far were his data management skills, views and endeavors which were crucial in the OP and a source of encouragement to other atomic data ventures since then. With him in mind, we will go over the numerical methods and approximations used in the OP and IP, highlight some of the main findings, particularly those resulting from data

¹<http://cds.u-strasbg.fr/>

²www.osc.edu/

³<http://www.cecalc.ula.ve/>

⁴<http://www.ivic.ve/fisica/>

⁵http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/M._J._Seaton

⁶<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/publi.html>

⁷<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/tipbase/ref/publi.html>

analyzes, and describe the atomic databases and applications TOPbase⁸, TIPbase⁹, OPserver¹⁰ and CHIANTI¹¹ associated with these pioneering long-term collaborations.

If data activities were nascent and poorly funded in the 80s and 90s, they are currently undergoing a tremendous boom as a new way of doing research, *e-science* [70, 71, 59], based on global data-mining collaborations on a second generation Internet. Due to its foreseeable potential, data-intensive computing is being put forward as the “fourth paradigm” in science [69] which intends to unify the other three: experiment, theory and computer simulation. It will certainly give rise to new opportunities for interdisciplinary cooperation and inter-organizational data sharing where, in the same way that scientific papers are now globally available on the Internet, so will be the voluminous distributed data sets that are used to generate them. Therefore, some of the discussions that are presented here regarding data management perspectives are of general interest to other research fields beyond those of computational atomic physics and laboratory astrophysics.

In this challenging context, the Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Center (VAMDC¹²) was launched in July 2009 to integrate and boost atomic and molecular (A&M) databases due to their relevance in a variety of scientific and technological fields. It has been agreed to house the OP and IP data products and its related data-intensive applications within the VAMDC and to adopt its general data-preservation policies, an issue of high priority since both the OP and IP are now long past their prime time. In this respect, we will go over recent innovations such as metadata, XML schema and distributed data reservoirs, and discuss the perspectives of the OP/IP data services within the VAMDC and in the new cyber-infrastructure.

The Opacity Project

The Opacity Project (OP) [137, 138] was launched as the result of a plea by Norman Simon [129] for a revision of the metal (elements heavier than He) opacities, and was first discussed by David Hummer, Dimitri Mihalas and Mike Seaton in the living room of the former in Boulder, Colorado, USA, in the summer of 1982. Simon had shown earlier that an increase of a factor of 2 to 3 in the metal opacities would eliminate the long-standing “Cepheid mass discrepancy”. Cepheids are pulsating variable stars which are used, due to the precise relationship between their variable luminosity and pulsation period, to measure extragalactic distances. However, the Cepheid masses determined from evolutionary tracks and pulsation properties showed dissatisfying differences [88]. The discussion in Boulder dealt with the details of a new equation of state [75], which would be based on the “chemical picture” where atoms keep their identities and the plasma effects are represented by an occupation probability formalism, and on the feasibility of including electron correlation effects in the computation of the massive radiative data needed to estimate opacities.

⁸<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/topbase.html>

⁹<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/tipbase/home.html>

¹⁰<http://opacities.osc.edu/>

¹¹<http://www.chianti.rl.ac.uk/>

¹²<http://www.vamdc.org/>

Also as a result of Simon's request, a parallel project was launched at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, CA, USA, which came to be known as OPAL [77]. By using the method of detailed configuration accounting, where the atomic data are computed with the Dirac equation and parametric potentials, and an equation of state [112] based on the "physical picture" by a renormalized activity expansion of the grand canonical ensemble, OPAL removed some of the inherent approximations in the widely used Los Alamos Astrophysical Opacity Library [73].

The OP consortium quickly grew to involve about 30 international collaborators¹³ from France, Germany, UK, USA and Venezuela, and would meet regularly on a six-monthly basis for at least the next ten years. In a way, the OP established a milestone in the field of computational laboratory astrophysics regarding participation in long-term international scientific collaborations, publication of results (e.g. the series of papers¹⁴ "The equation of state for stellar envelopes" and "Atomic data for opacity calculations") and data management, the latter being the main topic of the present review.

Radiation transfer in the stellar envelope, with typical temperatures $T(\text{K})$ and densities $\rho(\text{g cm}^{-3})$ in the ranges

$$4.5 \leq \log T \leq 6.5 \quad \text{and} \quad -8.5 \leq \log \rho \leq -1.5 , \quad (1)$$

can be modeled in local thermodynamic equilibrium. Hence, the specific intensity is not very different from the Planck function $B(T)$, and the total radiant energy flux is assumed proportional to the temperature gradient (diffusion approximation):

$$\mathbf{F} = - \left(\frac{4\pi}{3\kappa_{\text{R}}} \frac{dB}{dT} \right) \nabla T . \quad (2)$$

The transfer is thus controlled by the *Rosseland mean*

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_{\text{R}}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \times g(u) du \quad (3)$$

where $g(h\nu/kT)$ is the weighting function

$$g(u) = \frac{15}{4\pi^4} u^4 \exp(-u) [1 - \exp(-u)]^{-2} , \quad (4)$$

and the *monochromatic opacities* κ_ν include contributions from all the radiative absorption processes in the plasma. It must be pointed out that the Rosseland mean is a weighted harmonic mean that is not additive, i.e. all the contributions to the monochromatic opacity must be added up before the integral in Eqn. (3) is computed. It also weighs the windows between absorption lines rather than the strong absorption lines themselves, and therefore, accurate representations must be considered for the line wings, the weak lines and absorption lines originating from minor constituents of the plasma.

¹³<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/OPteam.html>

¹⁴<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/publi.html>

The required radiative atomic data, namely level energies, f -values, photoionization cross sections and line broadening parameters, were computed in the OP with the *close-coupling method* [36], where the wave function for a state of an ionic N -electron target and a colliding electron with total orbital angular momentum, spin and parity $SL\pi$ is expanded in terms of the target eigenfunctions

$$\Psi(SL\pi) = \mathcal{A} \sum_i \chi_i \frac{F_i(r)}{r} + \sum_j c_j \Phi_j . \quad (5)$$

The functions χ_i are vector coupled products of the target eigenfunctions and the angular components of the incident-electron functions, $F_i(r)$ are the radial part of the latter and $\mathcal{A}$ is an antisymmetrization operator. The functions Φ_j are bound-type functions of the total system constructed with target orbitals, introduced to compensate for orthogonality conditions imposed on the $F_i(r)$ and to improve short-range correlations. The Kohn variational principle gives rise to a set of coupled integro-differential equations which were solved in the OP with the *R-matrix method* [21, 22, 23, 35] and a series of sophisticated asymptotic codes developed by Seaton [121] to improve and accelerate the calculation of bound-state and continuum energies and wave functions, and hence, the radiative data. For instance, it became possible, for the first time, to obtain all possible bound states in an energy range by scanning rather than iterating around initial energy guesses. It is worth emphasizing, on the other hand, that in the OP *R*-matrix computations relativistic effects were neglected and *LS* coupling was assumed, so fine-structure splittings were not resolved. The rationale behind this approximation was that line broadening would blur such splittings at the expense of neglecting the weak intercombination transitions that arise from relativistic spin mixing.

Data computations proceeded by assigning complete isoelectronic sequences to specific research groups which had to report periodically on their progress at OP meetings. Atomic data curation in the OP was mostly managed on half-inch tapes and, in some cases, on the newer Exabyte 8-mm cassettes and CDs and was centralized by Seaton. He developed stringent testing procedures in order to ensure data accuracy and completeness and to remove nonphysical resonances in the photoionization cross sections, particularly in the difficult energy regions below thresholds. In many cases calculations were repeated due to programming bugs or poor target representations. The final opacity computations [127] were performed in the difficult transition period between the twilight of the mainframes and the advent of the Unix workstations, so they implied familiarity with different operating systems (IBM VM/CMS, VAX/VMS and Unix) and fortran compilers, the end product being a CD that was publicly available from two sites: the Observatoire de Meudon, Paris, France, and the Department of Astronomy, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.

One of the most interesting findings in the OP was obtained by data analysis, namely in the photoionization cross sections of excited states. The most salient features in such cross sections were broad resonances that involved the photoexcitation of the core rather than that of the active electron, and were consequently labeled *PEC resonances*. In Figure 1, we show the OP photoionization cross sections [58] of the $1sns$ 1S excited states in He I for $n = 2-4$, where radiative absorption can give rise to the excitation of a series of $2pn$'s resonances converging to

Figure 1: OP photoionization cross sections of the $1sns\ ^1S$ excited states ($n = 2-4$) in He I as a function of the effective quantum number $E = -1/\nu^2$. It may be seen that the cross section of each state is dominated by a broad PEC resonance which is assigned the term $2pn's\ ^1P^o$ with $n' = n$. In PEC resonances, the active electron does not take part in the radiative absorption, and hence, its width is determined by the $1s \rightarrow 2p$ core transition. Reproduced from Figures 7 of Ref. [58] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0022-3700/20/23/032>).

the 2p threshold

$$1sns + \gamma \rightarrow 2pn's \rightarrow 1s + e^- . \quad (6)$$

The PEC resonances arise when $n' = n$ since the active electron does not participate in the radiative excitation which takes place solely via the $1s \rightarrow 2p$ core transition; therefore, the PEC resonance widths are mostly determined by this core process and are then practically independent of n .

In the lengthy revisions, the first preliminary opacities were not published [77] by OP but by OPAL, a comparison of the Los Alamos and OPAL monochromatic opacities for Fe which we show in Figure 2. A huge bump may be seen at around 60 eV in OPAL which is absent in Los Alamos; it is due to an unresolved transition array involving numerous states of the type $3s^x 3p^y 3d^z$ in ionic species of the second row, namely in Al-, Si-, P-, S- and Cl-like Fe. As mentioned by the authors, this bump would greatly enhance the Rosseland mean since its distribution function (see Eqn. 4) peaks at around 80 eV. Furthermore, this outcome became a key milestone in the opacity race; firstly, it was an incentive for the two competing teams since Simon's hypothesis appeared to be correct and new revised opacities were indeed required; and secondly, the large number of $3s^x 3p^y 3d^z$ states could not be handled computationally at the time with the R -matrix approach. Within the OP, the latter situation led to additional extensive calculations of f -values with the atomic structure code SUPERSTRUCTURE [55] which uses simpler configuration-interaction wave functions of the type

$$\Psi(SL\pi) = \sum_i c_i \phi_i . \quad (7)$$

These new large data sets came to be known as the “PLUS data” [85].

In spite of using very different approaches for the equation of state and the computation of the atomic data, the independent OP and OPAL opacities turned out to be, after more than a decade of heavy computations, in outstanding agreement and have been extensively compared. The first comparisons were performed of course in the context of pulsation calculations, namely in B stars [108] and beat and bump Cepheids and RR Lyrae stars [79], where the new OPAL and OP opacities generally contributed to improved and undifferentiated models. At temperatures of $\sim 10^5$ K characteristic of stellar interiors, the OPAL and OP opacities were in close agreement [11] and larger than previous values by as much as a factor of 3. However, at higher temperatures and densities, such as those found in the deeper layers, OPAL was higher than OP by around 30% which was suggested [76] to be due to the neglect of inner-shell transitions in the latter. This proposition was subsequently confirmed [11] by computing new inner-shell radiative data

Figure 2: Comparison of Los Alamos monochromatic opacities for Fe with OPAL. Left panel: photon absorption coefficient by Los Alamos code (1985 version) at a density of $6.82 \times 10^{-5} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ and a temperature of 20 eV. Right panel: OPAL results where a huge unresolved transition array is observed at around 60 eV due to numerous 3-to-3 transitions not taken into account by Los Alamos. Reproduced from Figures 1–2 of Ref. [77] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/185034>).

for a mixture of six elements (H, He, C, O, S and Fe) with AUTOSTRUCTURE [7, 8], an extended version of the atomic structure code SUPERSTRUCTURE [55].

A more detailed comparison [126] of OPAL and OP opacities yielded some significant differences. For instance, although there was good global agreement for hydrogen, in the region of $\log T \simeq 6$ (T in K) and $\log R \simeq -1$, where $R = \rho/T_6^3$ (ρ is the mass density in g cm^{-3} and $T_6 = 10^{-6} \times T$), the OPAL Rosseland mean was larger than OP by up to 13% which was mainly caused by the different equations of state. (For a discussion on the validity of the two equations of state, see Ref. [47, 140].) Similar differences occurred for He at $\log T \simeq 6.4$ and $\log R \simeq -1$. It was also found that the inclusion of intercombination transitions in iron increased the Rosseland mean by 18%, and in iron-rich mixtures, the OP “Z-bump” was located at somewhat higher temperatures when compared to OPAL. Generally speaking, there are small differences between OPAL and OP at the lower temperatures ($\log T \lesssim 5.5$) which are believed to be due to atomic data quality, OP certainly having the upper hand. At the higher temperatures and densities ($\log R \gtrsim -2$), OP is somewhat larger due to a different equation of state.

These conclusions led to a major revision of the OP opacities [10]. The outer-shell iron data [85, 126] obtained in intermediate-coupling with AUTOSTRUCTURE for ionic species with electron number $N = 13$ –18 were now included, plus inner-shell radiative data for the chemical elements He, C, N, O, Ne, Na, Mg, Al, Si, S, Ar, Ca, Cr, Mn, Fe and Ni. An improved frequency mesh was also introduced to ensure a high degree of accuracy in integration (better than 1%). The contributions of inner-shell transitions may be appreciated in Figure 3 in the high-temperature ($\log T \gtrsim 5.5$), high-density ($\log R \gtrsim -3$) tails. Moreover, as shown in Figure 4, the OP revised opacities agreed with OPAL to better than 10%, but again, the OP Z-bump at $\log T \simeq 5.2$ was shifted to slightly higher temperatures.

An interesting development took place while the OP opacities were being improved. By means of a time-dependent, three-dimensional, hydrodynamical model [6] of the solar atmosphere, the C, N, O and Ne solar abundances were revised downward to yield a photospheric metal mass fraction of $Z = 0.0126$, a value considerably lower than the widely accepted value of $Z = 0.0194$ derived [3, 66] from standard solar abundances. The new estimates not only sadly destroyed the outstanding agreement between solar models and helioseismological observations in relation to the depth of the solar convection zone, respectively $0.714 R_\odot$ and $0.713 \pm 0.001 R_\odot$, with a larger value ($0.726 R_\odot$), but it also led to discrepancies with the measured sound speeds and the surface helium abundance [12]. It was suggested [12] that an increase of 11% in the OPAL opacities from the base of the convection zone ($0.7 R_\odot$) down to $0.4 R_\odot$ would solve the problem. Thus, there was much expectation for the OP revision to confirm this hypothesis, but the final OP increase was less than 2.5% more than OPAL in the region of interest [10]. Possible sources of “missing opacity” in the context of the solar abundances problem have been

Figure 3: OP Rosseland-mean opacities for a solar mixture (S92) with and without inner-shell transitions. Reproduced from Figure 1 of Ref. [10] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08991.x>).

Figure 4: OPAL and OP Rosseland-mean opacities for a solar mixture (S92) where an excellent overall agreement is found. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [10] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.08991.x>).

recently proposed [111], where the neglected intercombination transitions and relatively simple target representations used (only the ground complex was considered in most cases) in the OP are top of the list.

The computation of vast numbers of f -values, photoionization cross sections, line broadening parameters and monochromatic opacities in the OP allowed the determination of radiative accelerations which can be used to study diffusion processes in stars [2]. Diffusion can be the cause of surface abundance anomalies in, for instance, chemically peculiar stars (e.g. HgMn stars). Seaton [122] used the OP radiative data to study the phenomenon of *radiative levitation* and to compute envelope radiative accelerations for 15 elements [123], making publicly available a useful suite of fortran utilities for estimating radiative acceleration parameters. He also treated in detail [124] the envelope diffusion of iron-group elements in HgMn stars which produced changes in the Rosseland-mean opacities by as much as a factor of 4, particularly producing build-ups of iron in the region of $\log T \simeq 5.1$ and of nickel in the outer parts of the envelope. A comparison of Mn abundances obtained from observations in the UV [130] and optical [1] of HgMn stars with those calculated at the bottom of a model atmosphere (optical depth $\tau = 1$) and after 10^8 years showed satisfactory agreement. It has been argued [48] that radiative accelerations would enable further tests of OP and OPAL opacities by comparing such observed surface abundance anomalies with those predicted in diffusive stellar models. Significant differences in the OP and OPAL accelerations have been recently discussed [111].

TOPbase

Most of the work by one of us (CM) in the OP was carried out while he was a Research Consultant at the IBM Venezuela Scientific Center and a Visiting Fellow of IVIC. This allowed him to be in contact with other scientists involved in scientific computing including computer scientists. Thus, when the bulky OP atomic data sets were finally being gathered and tested, he was encouraged by this circle to become acquainted with the field of *data dissemination*.

In those days, laboratory astrophysicists were familiar with the atomic data compilations published by the US National Bureau of Standards (now the National Institute of Standards and Technology), of which the most well-known were *Atomic Energy Levels* [94] and *Atomic Transition Probabilities* [141], but there was scanty contact with online atomic databases or commercial database management systems (DBMSs). Early experiences, e.g. the Belfast Atomic Data Bank [24], were mainly concerned with data reservoirs and bibliographies for data as-

assessment within the context of atomic data workshops [57, 56, 144] rather than with user data products.

Thus, taking into consideration that the OP atomic data were likely to be employed in a variety of research fields and for different purposes, there was at the time a definite motivation to enhance their access and usage by developing a versatile and searchable atomic database. However, the diversity of scientific environments, resources and operating systems implied, right from the outset, that the proposed DBMS would have to be custom-developed in the scientific computing *lingua franca*: fortran 77. In this respect, we were able to count with the enthusiastic support of Walter Cunto, a first class computer scientist at the IBM Scientific Center, who was responsible for most of the design of what became to be known as “TOPbase” [45], i.e. the definition, development, distribution and maintenance of a specific, portable, efficient and low-cost DBMS to facilitate the intensive use (online and batch) of the OP atomic data sets.

The original version of TOPbase [45] handled around 0.5 GB of compact binary data files, organized to speed up searches along spectroscopic series and isonuclear and isoelectronic sequences and the sorting of energies and wavelengths. User searches were specified by means of a simple command-based query language which included graphic processing. Its structure essentially contained three entities — term energies (e), f -values (f) and photoionization cross sections (p) — and a set of indexes, all resident in secondary storage (disk). When TOPbase was invoked, the indexes were loaded into main memory (RAM) such that the query parser could use them to streamline data searches. Index displays could also be employed to obtain tables of content of the database. The main bottleneck in system performance was, due to the data volumes involved, data loading from secondary storage. As a consequence, the data manipulation scheme was implemented at two levels: (i) searches in and time consuming block data retrievals from secondary storage; and (ii) cheap and versatile processing (sorting, row/column selection, exclusion and plotting) performed iteratively in main storage in order to satisfy the user’s ultimate requirements.

The TOPbase data model is shown in Figure 5. Data compactness and fast access were two main features whereby main and secondary storages were managed jointly with the logical handling of the data. Two data structures were therefore implemented in main storage: the **view** and the **table**. A search was performed according to a user selected criterion that generated a subset of highly cohesive data, i.e. a **view**, which was loaded into special buffers located in main memory with the **cv** command to allow further manipulation. Each **view** had an associated **descriptor** to register selection criteria and **view** bounds which could be displayed on the monitor at any time. A **view** binary image could be respectively archived in or retrieved from disk with the **ar** and **re** commands, and the package offered data streaming facilities to different output devices, namely the monitor, printer and a disk file through the **dv** command. Logical reorganizations of data stored in a **view** were possible through the **table** structure. A **table** was a vector array that enabled or disabled data items contained in the **view** as a result of user selection requirements such as **se** (selection), **ex** (exclusion) and **so** (sorting). A **table** could also be output with the **dt** command on different devices (monitor, printer or disk file), and graphic displays of its columns (**pt**) and photoionization cross sections (**px**) could be plotted. Tables of content of the database, which were useful before creating **views**, could be obtained by displaying the indexes (**di**), and a set of atomic constants (e.g.

Search Engine for Atomic Data (GENIE¹⁷) provided consistent monthly statistics of over 100 hits in the last few years.

OPserver

In the early days of TOPbase development at the IBM Venezuela Scientific Center, lengthy discussions with Walter Cunto on atomic databases [45] always considered two access modes: interactive online access by a user and recurrent access in batch processing by an application. Although the former mode has grown since then, particularly with the ubiquitous establishment of the Web, the latter has remained in the background. However, in the new cyber-infrastructure of e-science, characterized by the mining of large volumes of data in distributed reservoirs, it is expected to take a leading role. In this same context, we predict that the deployment of data-intensive applications, which at present implies a local download, installation and periodic upgrading, will change at its roots.

The development of the OPserver [92] was carried out with these ideas in mind, but above all, with the contributions of several students and postdocs: Marcio Meléndez (Universidad Simón Bolívar, Caracas), Juan González and Enrique Palacios (Universidad de Carabobo, Valencia), Luis Rodríguez (IVIC, Caracas), Franck Delahaye (Observatoire de Paris), and the technical support, expertise and encouragement of Paul Buerger (Ohio Supercomputer Center, Columbus), Alberto Bellorín (Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas), Anil Pradhan (Ohio State University, Columbus), Claude Zeippen (Observatoire de Paris) and Mike Seaton himself.

With the last revision [10] of the OP opacities to treat the inner-shell contribution, a complete set of monochromatic opacities and a suite of simple-to-use codes to compute means and radiative accelerations (OPCD_2.1¹⁸) was released [125]. This was, in our opinion, a major achievement as it represented the culmination of a 20-year endeavor embodied in a user data product. However, as mentioned before (see the section on The Opacity Project), some sophisticated stellar models take into account microscopic diffusion processes (radiative levitation, gravitational settling and thermal diffusion) which means that chemical element stratification depends on stellar depth. This implies that mean opacities and radiative accelerations must be recalculated at each depth point of the model and at each time step of the evolution, and thus codes more efficient than OPCD_2.1 may be necessary. OPserver attempted to enhance OPCD_2.1 by: (i) storing the monochromatic opacities permanently in main memory; (ii) transcribing the codes as a subroutine library that can be linked to a stellar modeling code; and (iii) offering several access modes.

In OPCD_2.1, Rosseland-mean opacities and radiative accelerations are computed in two stages (see Figure 6). In a time-consuming stage and for a user-defined chemical mixture, the MIXV and ACC codes read the monochromatic opacities from disk (~ 1 GB) and compute means and accelerations on a representative tabulation of the complete $T - N_e$ plane, where T is the temperature and N_e the electron density. In a second fast stage, the means and accelerations are interpolated with OPFIT and ACCFIT on a stellar depth profile specified by

¹⁷<http://www-amdis.iaea.org/GENIE/>

¹⁸<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/op.html>

Figure 6: Rosseland-mean opacities (RMO) and radiative accelerations (RA) are computed with the codes in the OPCODE_2.1 release in two stages: in a time consuming Stage 1, they are computed for the whole (T, N_e) plane, followed by fast bicubic interpolations in Stage 2. Reproduced from Figure 1 of Ref. [92] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11837.x>).

Figure 7: OPserver enterprise showing the web-server–supercomputer tandem at the Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC) and the three available user modes. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [92] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11837.x>).

the user. In OPserver, these computations are improved by having a dedicated server in the first step, where the monochromatic opacities are always stored in RAM, and by parallelizing the loop over the chemical mixture.

In Figure 7, we show the access modes offered by the OPserver which has been resident at the Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC), Columbus, OH, USA, since 2006. It has been implemented under a client–server architecture where the web server (client) communicates with a supercomputer (server) via a socket interface. The 1 GB monochromatic opacity data sets are always loaded in main memory on the server. OPserver may be accessed by a user (client) from the interactive web server¹⁹ (Mode C); by downloading the package locally from the CDS (OPCD_3.3²⁰), installing it and then linking the subroutine library (OPLibrary) to the user modeling code (Mode A); or by just downloading and linking the OPLibrary, and then accessing the data remotely at runtime from the central facility at the OSC (Mode B). The latter mode has been designed for the new distributed grid computing environments²¹ where Mode A would be cumbersome due to data volumes and deployment times.

The OPserver has recently been tested in innovative laboratory opacity experiments [13], where a sample is heated with X rays and the spectral transmission measured with a back-light in a high energy density facility. These initiatives have been motivated by the solar abundance problem described above (see the Opacity Project section) and due to the dependence on opacities from light chemical elements in inertial fusion and Z pinches.

The Iron Project

By the end of the OP, most of the researchers that had been involved in this consortium felt that they wanted to go on, to get involved in a second project of comparable scale and relevance. The proposed challenge, the Iron Project (IP) [74], was just as ambitious: the systematic computation of accurate radiative and electron impact excitation rates for ions of the iron group of the Periodic Table, which were required to interpret a wide variety of astronomical spectra where local thermodynamic equilibrium in the emitting plasmas cannot be assumed. The IP work has been published in a long series of over 60 papers (“Atomic data from the

¹⁹<http://opacities.osc.edu>

²⁰<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/topbase/op.html>

²¹<http://www.gridcomputing.com/>

IRON project”²²) involving the old OP research groups plus new collaborating institutions²³ (specially from Canada) under the general coordination of David Hummer.

A main difference with OP was that fine-structure levels would now have to be considered, i.e. atomic data in intermediate coupling would become the end products. In the case of radiative rates for both allowed and forbidden transitions, the familiar structure codes SUPERSTRUCTURE [55] and CIV3 [72] could compute accurate fine-structure A -values for light elements ($Z < 36$, say) with configuration-interaction expansions of the type

$$\Psi(J\pi) = \sum_i c_i \phi_i \quad (8)$$

and by introducing relativistic corrections with the Breit–Pauli Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{bp}} = H_{\text{nr}} + H_{1\text{b}} + H_{2\text{b}} \quad (9)$$

where H_{nr} is the usual non-relativistic Hamiltonian. The one-body relativistic operators

$$H_{1\text{b}} = \sum_{n=1}^N f_n(\text{mass}) + f_n(\text{d}) + f_n(\text{so}) \quad (10)$$

represent the spin–orbit interaction, $f_n(\text{so})$, the non-fine-structure mass variation, $f_n(\text{mass})$, and the one-body Darwin correction, $f_n(\text{d})$. The two-body Breit operators are given by

$$H_{2\text{b}} = \sum_{n < m} g_{nm}(\text{so}) + g_{nm}(\text{ss}) + g_{nm}(\text{css}) + g_{nm}(\text{d}) + g_{nm}(\text{oo}) \quad (11)$$

where the fine-structure terms are $g_{nm}(\text{so})$ (spin–other-orbit and mutual spin–orbit), $g_{nm}(\text{ss})$ (spin–spin), and the non-fine-structure counterparts $g_{nm}(\text{css})$ (spin–spin contact), $g_{nm}(\text{d})$ (two-body Darwin) and $g_{nm}(\text{oo})$ (orbit–orbit).

On the other hand, the electron impact excitation rate for a transition $i \rightarrow f$ is conveniently given in terms of the dimensionless *effective collision strength*

$$\Upsilon_{if}(T) = \int_0^\infty \Omega_{if}(E_f) \exp(-E_f/kT) d(E_f/kT) \quad (12)$$

which constitutes the thermal average of the *collision strength* for the transition, namely

$$\Omega_{if} = \frac{1}{2} \sum g |S_{if} - \delta_{if}|^2 \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{S}$ is the *scattering matrix* containing the micro-physics of the scattering and $g = 2J + 1$ is a statistical weight. The excitation cross section may be written in terms of the collision strength as

$$\sigma_{if} = \Omega_{if} \frac{\pi a_0^2}{g_i k_i^2}, \quad (14)$$

²²<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/tipbase/ref/publi.html>

²³<http://www.usm.lmu.de/people/ip/institutions.html>

k_i^2 being the incident electron energy in Rydberg units and $g_i = 2J_i + 1$, and the complex scattering matrix is usually derived in terms of the real *reactance matrix*

$$S = \frac{1 + iR}{1 - iR} \quad (15)$$

which is in fact the quantity that is actually computed. At the time of the IP launch, the way to obtain $\mathbf{R}$ matrices in intermediate coupling was to compute them in LS coupling, and then perform the algebraic transformation

$$R^{J\pi}(S_i L_i J_i l_i K_i, S_f L_f J_f l_f K_f) = \sum_{SL} R^{SL\pi}(S_i L_i l_i s_i, S_f L_f l_f s_f) \\ C(SLJ, S_i L_i J_i, l_i K_i) C(SLJ, S_f L_f J_f, l_f K_f) \quad (16)$$

with a code such as JAJOM [114, 115]. $C(SLJ, S_i L_i J_i, l_i K_i)$ are Clebsch–Gordon coefficients and $S_i L_i J_i$ and SLJ are the orbital angular momentum, spin and total angular momentum quantum numbers of the target (in state i) and of the total system (target + electron), respectively; l_i and s_i are the orbital angular momentum and spin quantum numbers of the electron, and $\mathbf{K}$ is an intermediate quantum number such that

$$\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{J}_i + \mathbf{l}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J} = \mathbf{K}_i + \mathbf{s}_i. \quad (17)$$

Some allowance for relativistic effects was made [74] by the formalism of *term-coupling coefficients* and by including the non-fine-structure mass variation and one-body Darwin corrections of Eq. (10) in the Hamiltonian of the R -matrix method. Some of the earlier work in the IP was carried out with this approach; however, a more formal treatment of the relativistic close-coupling approximation was needed for some of the complex Fe ionic species.

Although the Breit–Pauli relativistic Hamiltonian had long been included in R -matrix code [119, 120], the two-body Breit corrections of Eq. (11) were not taken into account. Nevertheless, by merging this improved relativistic version with the OP non-relativistic package, an efficient Breit–Pauli R -matrix suite (BPRM) began to be generally used in the IP as from 1995 for both collisional and radiative work; e.g. in the electron impact excitation of the $3d^4 \ ^5D_J$ ground-state fine-structure transitions in Ti-like ions [20]. It was found that, for the $3d^4 \ ^5D_0 \rightarrow 3d^4 \ ^5D_1$ transition in Fe V, the BPRM effective collision strength at 10^4 K was 35% below that computed with JAJOM. However, it was soon realized that BPRM led to computationally much more demanding runs where the number of levels of a target representation could be limited by processor core size, thus making some of the complex Fe species computationally intractable. For this reason, the *Intermediate Coupling Frame Transformation method* (ICFT) was developed [67] (outside the IP but quickly incorporated), whereby relativistic effects may be included via frame transformations based on the multi-channel quantum defect theory. For instance, for O-like Fe XIX, the original IP calculation [40] with BPRM included 92 levels in the target representation while a revisit [38] with ICFT allowed up to 342 target levels.

Progress in the IP was translated with time into many improvements to the R -matrix codes and the establishment of useful numerical utilities in computational atomic physics. For instance, since the infinite summation of Eq. (13) converges very slowly for allowed transitions,




Figure 8: Infrared lines originating from ions with open-shell configurations np , np^5 , np^2 and np^4 respectively belonging, for $n = 2$, to the B, F, C and O isoelectronic sequences, and for $n = 3$, to the Al, Cl, Si and S sequences.

Figure 9: Collision strength for the $2s^2 2p^4 {}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^3P_1$ infrared transition in O-like Al VI displaying a bundle of broad resonances just above threshold. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [39] (<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994A%26AS..108....1B>).

a “top-up” procedure based on the Burgess sum rule [37] was incorporated in BPRM. The inclusion of the two-body Breit corrections (see Eq. 11) has also been recently carried out by G. X. Chen, W. Eissner & A. K. Pradhan (in preparation). Furthermore, a graphical method [34] for analyzing the partial-wave convergence of effective collision strengths based on the reduction of the infinite temperature scale to a finite interval ($0 \leq T \leq 1$) became popular among IP members. However, it relied on the infinite-temperature value of the effective collision strength which, for allowed transitions, may be easily estimated from the f -value. For forbidden transitions, on the other hand, it is estimated from the Coulomb–Born limit [33] which was not available in the earlier years of the project, and was eventually coded into SUPERSTRUCTURE by W. Eissner (unpublished).

The first stage of the IP was concerned with the calculation of electron impact excitation rates for astrophysical abundant ions with open-shell configurations np , np^5 , np^2 and np^4 which give rise to infrared (IR) lines (see Figure 8). The following isoelectronic sequences were studied: for $n = 2$, the B-, F-, C- and O-like ions; and for $n = 3$, the Al-, Cl-, Si- and S-like ions. These data were required for the modeling of spectra observed with the Infrared Space Observatory (ISO²⁴) launched in November 1995, and after August 2003, with the Spitzer Space Telescope (Spitzer²⁵). A delicate situation emerged in the electron excitation of IR transitions which is illustrated [39] with the $2s^2 2p^4 {}^3P_0 \rightarrow {}^3P_1$ transition in O-like Al VI (see Figure 9). For

²⁴<http://iso.esac.esa.int/>

²⁵<http://spitzer.caltech.edu/>

Figure 10: Effective collision strength for the $2s^2 2p^5 \ ^2P_{3/2}^o \rightarrow \ ^2P_{1/2}^o$ transition in F-like Ar X (a) using theoretical level separation and (b) using experimental level separation. Differences around a factor of 2 are observed at $\log T = 4$. Reproduced from Figure 5 of Ref. [116] (<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994A%26AS..107...29S>).

Figure 11: Effective collision strength for the $3s^2 3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o \rightarrow \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$ transition in Al-like Fe XIV in the region below the $3s 3p^2 \ ^4P$ excited levels showing a dense resonance structure. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [133] (<http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1996A%26A...309..677S>).

high-charge species (e.g. Al VI), a bundle of broad resonances that belong to series converging to the levels of the first excited configuration, $2s 2p^5$, sits just above the reaction threshold. As a consequence, the effective collision strength at the lower temperatures is not only greatly enhanced but also becomes very sensitive to target-level separations [116] (see Figure 10); i.e. experimental target levels must be used in the R -matrix runs and the convergence of the close-coupling expansion (5) with respect to target levels is crucial. An extreme case [133] is the $3s^2 3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o \rightarrow \ ^2P_{3/2}^o$ transition in Al-like Fe XIV which, as shown in Figure 11, displays a dense resonance structure arising from excited levels from the $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ complexes. An important feature here is the choice of energy mesh interval which must be fine enough to resolve the resonances; in the region between the $3s^2 3p \ ^2P^o$ and $3s 3p^2 \ ^4P$ thresholds, an energy mesh with 10,500 points was used [133]. Finally, a compilation [9] of IP A -values and effective collision strengths for interpreting IR transitions in nebulae was published in 2006.

The second stage of the IP was concerned with the computation of electron impact excitation rates for transitions within the $n \leq 3$ complexes and, when possible, $n \leq 4$ in ionic species of the complete Fe isonuclear sequence. These data are mainly needed in the interpretation of the EUV solar spectrum, and proved to be quite an ambitious objective because for some systems — e.g. second-row ions with open $n = 3$ shells such as the Al-, Si-, P-, S-, Cl-like species — the calculations proved to be very large indeed due to the slow convergence of the close-coupling expansion (5) with respect to target levels and the need to include relativistic effects. In fact, some of them, e.g. the Fe XI (S-like) and Fe XIII (Si-like), have not as yet been published.

A topical example of this second stage is the electron impact excitation of the ground state of Al-like Fe XIV. In this calculation [134], the target representation included 18 LS terms arising from the configurations $3s^2 3p$, $3s 3p^2$, $3s^2 3d$, $3p^3$ and $3s 3p 3d$ with the addition of 17 correlation configurations containing nl -orbitals with $n \leq 4$. Intermediate-coupling collision strengths were obtained with the algebraic transformation of Eq. (16) including relativistic target effects via term-coupling coefficients. Previous work [53] was carried out with the close-coupling method (R -matrix) using a reduced three-configuration target representation, and in the distorted wave approximation [26, 27] which neglects channel coupling. In this respect, it might be seen in Figure 12 that, although the effective collision strengths for the $3s^2 3p \ ^2P_{1/2}^o \rightarrow 3s 3p^2 \ ^2D_{5/2}$ transition computed with the three methods agree at high temperatures ($\log T \approx 7$), at the lower temperatures ($\log T \approx 5.5$) the IP is a factor of 2 higher; this enhancement is caused by an adequate treatment of resonances by the IP. Furthermore, as depicted in Figure 13 and

Figure 12: Effective collision strength for the $3s^23p\ ^2P_{1/2}^\circ \rightarrow 3s3p^2\ ^2D_{5/2}$ transition in Al-like Fe XIV. Solid line: IP close-coupling calculation [134]. Open circles: independent close-coupling calculation [53] with a reduced target representation. Dashed line: distorted wave calculation [26] that neglects channel coupling. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [134] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000319>).

Figure 13: Density variation of the $274\lambda/270\lambda$ line ratio in Fe XIV. Solid line: IP work [134]. Dashed line: CHIANTI v1.0 using previously computed [53] close-coupling effective collision strengths. Asterisks: distorted wave data [26, 27]. Reproduced from Figure 4 of Ref. [134] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:2000319>).

in contrast with IP, previous work resulted in a density insensitive $274\lambda/270\lambda$ line ratio which was astronomically observed to vary between 1.3 and 2.3. The IP variation was found to be between 1.0 and 2.0 in good agreement with observations.

It may be assumed that resonances enhance the effective collision strength mainly at the lower temperatures but this is not always so. In such cases, a detailed study of the whole resonance structure and the inclusion of all the contributing thresholds in the target representation are required. This problem is illustrated [25] with the excitation of the $2p_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$ transition in Li-like Fe XXIV (see Figure 14) where a huge bump with respect to a distorted wave result [145] occurs at around $\log T \approx 7$. Moreover, estimating the right number of contributing thresholds for the $3s^23p^q$ ions ($q = 2-4$) has become — as experienced [28, 29, 30, 132] with Fe XII ($q = 3$) — a long ordeal; however, as targets were improved, long-standing discrepancies with observations were progressively ironed out [28, 132].

An interesting calculation [109] has been the low energy electron impact excitation of neutral iron (Fe I) since most of the IP work involved positively charged targets. An important effect here was to take into account the polarizability of the terms included in the close-coupling expansion, namely 5D , 5F and 3F , by considering (at least for the first two) four polarized pseudo-states ($\overline{^5P^\circ}$, $\overline{^5D^\circ}$, $\overline{^5F^\circ}$ and $\overline{^5G^\circ}$). A second challenge was the threshold behavior of the collision strength for a neutral, $\Omega(E) \rightarrow 0$ as $E \rightarrow 0$, which differs from that for an ionic target ($\Omega(E) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{th}}$ as $E \rightarrow 0$), and obeys the Wigner threshold law

$$\Omega(i, f) \sim E^{l+1/2} \quad (18)$$

where l is the dominant orbital angular momentum contribution. Compliance with this law may be appreciated for the case of the $^5D_4 \rightarrow ^5D_3$ transition shown in Figure 15, where at very low energies ($E \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ Ryd), the s-wave ($l = 0$) dominates while at higher energies the p-wave ($l = 1$) takes over.

Figure 14: Effective collision strength for the $2p_{1/2} \rightarrow 2p_{3/2}$ transition in Li-like Fe XXIV. Full line: IP [25]. Broken line: distorted wave result [145]. The IP data show a huge bump at $\log T \approx 7$ due to the resonance contribution. Reproduced from Figure 9 of Ref. [25] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997384>).

Figure 15: Low-energy behavior of the collision strength $\Omega(^5D_4, ^5D_3)$ in Fe I showing compliance with the Wigner threshold law. Full curve: IP close-coupling calculation [109]. Dotted line: Wigner law for s-wave ($l = 0$). Dashed line: Wigner law for p-wave ($l = 1$). Reproduced from Figure 1 of Ref. [109] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997328>).

Figure 16: Photoionization cross section of the $3d^6 4s^2 \ ^5D$ ground state of Fe I where the contributing thresholds are denoted. Full curve: IP close-coupling result [14]. Broken curve: calculation [81] based on many-body perturbation theory. Reproduced from Figure 2 of Ref. [14] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/aas:1997327>).

Massive data sets of relativistic radiative data for both allowed and forbidden bound–bound transitions were also computed in the IP for several Fe ions (e.g. Fe XVII in Ref. [96]), increasing previously available data sets for such ions by orders of magnitude. For allowed transitions, the BPRM package was used where level identification became an issue, greatly simplified by the development of a new level identification algorithm. For the forbidden type (E2, E3, M1 and M2), the SUPERSTRUCTURE atomic structure code was employed. Photoionization cross sections for bound–free transitions were also computed with BPRM for some species, an outstanding case [14] was Fe I for which cross sections were computed for 1,117 levels with $n \leq 10$ and $l \leq 7$. The Fe II target representation in this large calculation required 52 LS terms from the configurations $3d^6 4s$, $3d^7$, $3d^6 4p$, $3d^5 4s^2$ and $3d^5 4s 4p$. In Figure 16 the photoionization cross section of the ground state of Fe I from this work is compared with a previous theoretical estimate [81].

TIPbase

The TIPbase²⁶ database contains the fine-structure atomic data computed in the IP, namely level energies, radiative transition probabilities (A -values) and electron impact excitation cross sections (collision strengths) and rates (effective collision strengths) for fine-structure transitions. Its DBMS was developed in fortran 90 and its web user interface in javascript, the latter by Jesús Quiroz (IVIC) and Marcio Meléndez (Universidad Simón Bolívar). Among its most interesting features are extensive data documentation and the possibility to display and manipulate plots interactively with a java applet, which may be used to study trends and resonances in the excitation cross sections. Efforts have been made to include the bulky data sets containing collision strengths, which allow the computation of rates for non-Maxwellian distributions, photoionization cross sections and recombination rates. The inclusion of the latter parameter was phased out, however, due to differences in the IP on how to compute recombination rates. Up to the present, TIPbase contains only IP data which perhaps limits its usability as it mainly concentrates on the iron isonuclear sequence.

²⁶<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/tipbase/home.html>

CHIANTI

CHIANTI²⁷ is an atomic data application for spectroscopic diagnostics of astrophysical plasmas [49], maintained since the end of the 90s by an international collaboration that includes several IP members. As described in its six releases²⁸, it may be regarded as a computer tool — developed with a friendly user-interface based on the Interactive Data Language (IDL) — to calculate synthetic, optically thin, emission-line spectra of astrophysical sources and to derive plasma diagnostics. It makes use of an extensive and periodically assessed and upgraded atomic database which caters mainly for the solar community and, more recently, for X-ray work. For a large number of ions, its database contains energy levels, wavelengths, radiative transition probabilities, electron impact excitation rates (many from the IP) and the continuum. More recently, CHIANTI has been overhauled with inner-shell transitions, satellite lines, proton excitation, two-photon, relativistic free-free and free-bound continua, ionization and recombination rates and Fe K lines. The impressive CHIANTI citation list²⁹ certainly gives an idea of the extent of its current user base.

Current projects

Although the OP and IP are still on-going enterprises, most of their members are involved in other atomic data computational projects. Some (e.g. the RmaX Network) may be regarded as spin-offs from the OP/IP, but others, although completely independent, carry the Seaton spirit and the expertise acquired in the past two decades of team work. We briefly mention here a few of the more active collaborations.

The UK APAP/RmaX Network

The Atomic Processes for Astrophysical Plasmas Network of the United Kingdom (APAP³⁰) is a continuation of the original UK_RmaX Network which was a spin-off of the IP. It is dedicated to the calculation of radiative and collisional data for the modeling of astrophysical plasmas and spectral analysis. Data sets containing both fundamental and derived atomic data are made available, and much work has been done in the development and upkeep of the *R*-matrix codes and atomic structure codes, particularly in relation to improvements in access, user interfaces (NAMELIST based) and parallelization. Recent publications³¹ concentrate on topics such as atomic-data benchmarking, inner-shell electron impact excitation, radiative and Auger damping, fluorescence yields, electron-ion recombination, dielectronic recombination and EUV line identifications and diagnostics.

²⁷<http://www.chianti.rl.ac.uk/>

²⁸<http://www.chianti.rl.ac.uk/publications/pub.html>

²⁹http://www.chianti.rl.ac.uk/publications/citation_list.html

³⁰http://amdpp.phys.strath.ac.uk/UK_RmaX/

³¹http://amdpp.phys.strath.ac.uk/UK_RmaX/APAP_pub.html

Work at OSU

Recent work at the Ohio State University (OSU), one of the most active members of the IP, has concentrated on contributions to the RmaX Network and in the computation of electron-ion recombination rate coefficients and photoionization cross sections for astrophysically abundant elements (e.g. Fe XVI, Fe XVII and Fe XXI). An interesting new approach has been the relativistic coupled-cluster method used [136] to compute the transition probability of $K\alpha$ lines in F-like ions ($Z = 10-79$), finding a $K\alpha_1$ and $K\alpha_2$ cross over at $Z = 41-42$. In the extensive work on recombination (see Ref. [99] and references therein), high-precision photoionization and recombination cross sections and rates are computed self-consistently with BPRM using the same wave-function expansion, thus treating radiative and dielectronic recombinations in a unified manner [98]. It is then shown [100] that resonances in the unified recombination cross sections correspond directly to dielectronic satellite spectra. Moreover, in the context of the dielectronic satellite lines of He-like Fe XXV and Ni XXVII, this method has been recently compared [97] with the isolated resonance approximation commonly used in plasma modeling; good agreement (20%) is obtained for the rates of strong lines, but larger discrepancies are found for the weaker features. Large data sets containing A -values and gf -values for many ions are being computed using BPRM for fine-structure E1 transitions and SUPERSTRUCTURE for the forbidden type. All radiative data from OSU are available online from the NORAD-Atomic-Data³² web site.

xstarDB

XSTAR [15] is a widely used spectrum modeling package that computes the physical conditions and emission spectra, particularly in the X-ray region, of a photoionized gas. It can be run either as a single model or as a grid of models, the latter to estimate model sensitivity to input-parameter ranges. For the past eight years, a considerable effort has been focused on improving its atomic database (xstarDB) with accurate inner-shell radiative and collisional data (K-vacancy level energies, wavelengths, A -values, Auger widths, photoabsorption cross sections and electron impact excitation cross sections) which are required in the analysis of K lines, particularly from nitrogen [60], oxygen [61], second-row elements (Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar and Ca) [106, 142], iron [103, 16, 104, 105, 90, 17] and nickel [107]. This is an interesting project in as much as both data producers and users are working closely together to generate a tailor-made atomic database.

Among the most relevant findings in this work [103] has been the smearing of the K edge caused by both radiation and Auger dampings, exemplified with the K photoabsorption of the Fe XVII ground state which is dominated by the double series of $1s2s^22p^6np\ 3,1P_1^o$ resonances (see Figure 17). These resonances decay via the following manifold:

³²http://www.astronomy.ohio-state.edu/~nahar/nahar_radiativeatomicdata/index.html

Figure 17: Total photoabsorption cross section of the ground state of Ne-like Fe XVII in the region near the K edge (7.72 keV). (a) Damping has been neglected. (b) Radiation damping is included. (c) Radiation and spectator Auger dampings are included. Reproduced from Figure 1 of Ref. [103] (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/344243>).

$$1s2s^22p^6np \xrightarrow{Kn} 1s^22s^22p^6 + h\nu_n \quad (19)$$

$$\xrightarrow{K\alpha} 1s^22s^22p^5np + h\nu_\alpha \quad (20)$$

$$\xrightarrow{KLn} \begin{cases} 1s^22s^22p^5 + e^- \\ 1s^22s2p^6 + e^- \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

$$\xrightarrow{KLL} \begin{cases} 1s^22s^22p^4np + e^- \\ 1s^22s2p^5np + e^- \\ 1s^22p^6np + e^- \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

which is distinctively dominated by the radiative $K\alpha$ (Eq. 20) and Auger KLL (Eq. 22) channels where the active np electron remains a spectator; therefore, their decay transition probabilities are independent of n . Consequently, as seen in Figure 17, if the $K\alpha$ and Auger KLL decay channels are not taken into account, i.e. damping is neglected, the resonances are narrow and asymmetric and converge towards a sharp K edge. On the other hand, if damping is included, the resonance series display constant widths and symmetric profiles that become progressively smeared with increasing n to produce a smooth transition through the K threshold. Such edge smearing may be of relevance to the astrophysical interpretation [78] of absorption features in astrophysical X-ray spectra.

ADAS-EU

The Atomic Data and Analysis Structure for Fusion in Europe (ADAS-EU³³) is a project that enables plasma diagnostics and modeling for fusion laboratories in Europe and, in the near future, for ITER³⁴. Databases containing both fundamental and derived atomic data are implemented and maintained, also promoting the computation and measurement of new data. It has grown from the active ADAS consortium³⁵ which developed a set of computer codes, subroutine libraries and atomic data sets for plasma modeling and the analysis and interpretation of spectral emission. New topics of interest are: heavy element spectroscopy and models; charge exchange spectroscopy; beam stopping and beam emission spectroscopy; diatomic spectra and collisional–radiative models.

³³<http://www.adas-fusion.eu/>

³⁴<http://www.iter.org/>

³⁵<http://www.adas.ac.uk/documentation.php>

E-science and the data deluge

With increasing frequency and effectiveness, researchers are exchanging data, ideas, publications, references and images through a variety of electronic means. However, a number of innovative collaborative environments are emerging which have not been readily adopted by the science community as its everyday workplace [31, 43, 44, 50]. This new ubiquitous mode of collaborations is being mainly supported by e-mail and instant messaging. Beyond this exchange among peers, the *post-Gutenberg* era has dawned where information producers, i.e. researchers, research centers and academic institutions, now have the ability to publish and disseminate their intellectual production without intermediaries.

Terms such as “cyber-infrastructure”, “e-science” and, more recently, “e-research” have been coined to describe this knowledge revolution. Among its most distinctive features we can cite: the intensive use of information and communication technologies (ICT); geographically distributed resources for information processing and analysis; and above all, its ubiquitousness (see Refs. [59, 70, 71] and references therein). Its main challenge is to manage, analyze and preserve the *data deluge* caused by an impressive variety of sensors, ambitious numerical simulations and large-scale facilities such as particle accelerators, tokamaks, synchrotron radiation sources and ground and satellite-borne astronomical telescopes. Under this digital avalanche, which surpasses any traditional data management capacity, ICT can transform these instruments into powerful computer environments for data mining.

As we have illustrated here with the OP/IP, large data productions are usually carried out by global collaborations, i.e. multinational science groups that generate large volumes of data which are geographically distributed and maintained only during project life cycle. Most of these data are never published and, when the collaborations end, many are lost or stashed away in national (or international) reservoirs that have nothing to do with their origins. Production decisions, approximations and provenance are buried in a huge electronic correspondence to which no-one has access [63].

A similar path is followed by small data producers scattered around the globe; thus both large and small data producers face the same problems in knowledge cataloging, preservation and dissemination. It is imperative to plan and build repositories that store data as they emerge and to retain the history of the decisions and criteria that generate them [32, 63, 80, 95]. In spite of pioneering efforts more than a decade ago to create a framework of recommendations to guide scientific database preservation and dissemination [52], it is only recently that multilateral organizations and planners in Europe and the United States have started to generate technical reports to encourage the preservation of important scientific data collections [4, 41, 82, 86, 110, 128, 135]. However, many of these recommendations have not permeated to the producing communities and/or to the collection custodians in these countries. The situation is even worse in Latin America where we are still not convinced by, or at least aware of, the new paradigms in the production and dissemination of scientific knowledge, and consequently, only a low-level use of ICT has been incorporated in teaching and research.

This increasing awareness to preserve and share data for use and reuse in knowledge creation has given the Open Access Movement a new facet. The self-preservation and dissemination of scientific data are beginning to be considered and discussed in different scenarios [5, 41, 86,

110, 113, 135, 143]. Inspired by the reflections and conceptual bases of the debutante, the Open Data Movement, it is essential to establish standards and protocols such that research data can be processed without barriers or high costs. The academic community perceives published data as their heritage; however, many publishers are insisting on copyright which, without doubt, is one of the greatest obstacles in e-research [95].

Data & metadata in e-science

The reasons for preserving data derive from the fact that observations, knowledge and understanding are cumulative. A *datum* can be considered a piece of information that can be processed, interpreted, transmitted and preserved. Data arise either from measurements (observational or experimental data), simulated results (synthetic data computed with mathematical models) or historical records (historical data). Data may be considered raw if they are generated directly from measurements and models, or derived if they undergo further filtering and processing. But, additionally, there are many subtleties and complexities: what might be considered derived data for some processes are raw for others, and historical data may emerge from the mathematical models that produce the record.

E-research requires the automatic handling of large volumes of data which must be streamlined by standards specified by both the data producers and users. The basic information employed to describe data — its content, features, dates, terms of use, source, ownership and other characteristics — is referred to as *metadata*. The latter allows the user to evaluate whether a particular data set is suitable for their purposes and to facilitate information access. Adequate documentation regarding sampling, analytical procedures, anomalies, accuracy and structure is of vital importance in its future correct interpretation. Metadata can facilitate [93]:

- data identification and acquisition for a given subject, for a specific period of time or geographic location;
- automatic analysis and data modeling;
- the inclusion of semantic knowledge elements associated with the data.

Metadata standardization is important inasmuch as it allows the definition of common terminologies specifying entry, validation, access, integration and synthesis in automation, and ensures complete and accurate documentation of data-set content. There are different metadata standards available, namely Dublin Core, Darwin Core, Content Standard for Digital Geospatial Metadata, ISO 19115 Geographic information metadata, Ecological Metadata Language, etc. The reason for so many standards is the diversity of application fields: information science, biology, geology, ecology and cartography, to name but a few.

Structures (classes) are composed of metadata items associated with descriptive semantic definitions for some of the possible data attributes. Such structures can be arbitrarily simple or very complex, and the information they contain can be heterogenous depending on the data types and needs in the associated communities. Within its metadata model, each community can define a property or an item differently; for instance, the Dublin Core initiative specifies a

base set of 15 elements while the metadata model of the Learning Objects Metadata, which is being developed by the IEEE and other organizations to describe resources in teaching–learning environments, has about 100 items [68, 139].

The incorporation of metadata demands an investment of time and effort by those who generate, preserve and share the data. It is advisable to make allocation for metadata-model definition and for the implementation learning curve, followed by maintenance costs in the short, medium and long term. For the implementation of a metadata system to be successful, there must be institutional commitment, i.e. the acceptance of technical field staff, researchers, students and computer and laboratory technicians.

All this effort takes place naturally in big-science experiments; however, it is also necessary for research consortia and groups and individual investigators to become aware of the importance of cataloging and preserving data. Only by doing so will their relevance to future generations be ensured [32].

Scientific Communities and DDCEs

A network of digital data curation environments (DDCEs) is beginning to be established by communities pursuing scientific knowledge for the consolidation and online analysis of the digital avalanches produced by their instruments. Digital curation involves the structuring and maintenance of such data for the use of current and future generations (see Refs. [18, 19] and references therein). A DDCE is then a set of services and tools committed to capturing, preserving, curating and disseminating data. From the information point of view, a DDCE tends to be cumulative, permanently open — both in content and in the platform that supports it [64, 82, 83] — and plays a key role in preserving and replicating the community’s memory. In fact, a DDCE is more than simply a storage of data objects and a toolbox for data mining and analysis, as researchers will need to interact with data within the environment of a social network and with the Web 2.0 approach. This new and challenging reality therefore introduces significantly greater complexity to data management [84].

Some progress has been made in developing simulations and data capture tools, but not much on those for data analysis. It is common to build new instruments with little planning or budgeting provision for data management [62]. Much less can be said about social software with collaboration capabilities which is the emerging paradigm for scientific activities. What we really need are *workflow* environments to set up, with Web 2.0 functionalities, a pipeline from the instrument or simulation directly to the DDCE (see articles in Ref. [69] and references therein).

A DDCE must be compliant with the Open Archive Initiative (OAI); that is, the data repositories should be available on the Internet, allowing any user to read, download, distribute, print, search and link the data files, and to use them for any other lawful purpose without financial, legal or technical barriers other than those associated with Internet access itself [95, 143].

In the next sections we describe some of the efforts that have been made by different communities to curate, disseminate and preserve their digital patrimony.

CombeChem & e-Bank: a proof of concept

One of the first experiences in building a DDCE is taking place at the UK National Crystallography Service (NCS). This service has developed, in collaboration with the CombeChem³⁶ e-science testbed and the eBank-UK project, an e-infrastructure to facilitate end-to-end a crystallographic experiment. A proof of concept that integrates existing structure and proprietary data sources has been developed within a grid-based information- and knowledge-sharing environment [42, 43, 54].

Following the OAI concept, these projects have constructed a data repository, eCrystals³⁷, that makes the raw and derived data from a crystallographic experiment available. The data are uploaded into a repository where they are complemented with additional metadata (chemical and bibliographic). This approach allows the rapid release of crystal structure data into the public domain, but also provides mechanisms for value added services that foster data identification for further studies even though the ownership of the data is always retained by the producer. Publication of all the results generated during the course of the experiment is then enabled by means of an Open Access Data Repository.

The end product of this project is a growing number of participating partners managing data repositories. There are practical implications that reflect the changing nature of research practices towards the data-intensive paradigm, with a variety of workflows in smart laboratories where scientists require more tools and services for virtual experiments. The emerging eCrystals Federation is thus gathering useful experience in creating a network of institutional ePrint repositories [87].

Astronomy & Astrophysics: a leading e-data community

Astronomical data are growing at an exponential rate from the continuous construction of new telescopes with ever more sensitive detectors and from ambitious computational models. While instruments produce steady data streams, there is an increasingly complex worldwide network of facilities with large data outflows. The natural characteristics of the astronomical community have made it an early builder and adopter of DDCEs, the most relevant being: a unified taxonomy, vocabulary and coded definition of metrics and units; peer reviewed data carefully collected with rigorous statistical standards; trackable data provenance; publicly available data; and ancient data preservation as they are essential for time-varying phenomena [64].

For years this community has been building a knowledge platform that has become a revolution in the way astronomers use data, providing a role model to other disciplines on how technology can be used to improve the quality and effectiveness of scientific enquiry [102]. These unique data services involve: three important data centers — the SAO/NASA Astrophysics Data System (ADS³⁸), the Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg (CDS³⁹) and the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED⁴⁰) —; the International Virtual Observa-

³⁶<http://www.combechem.org/>

³⁷<http://ecrystals.chem.soton.ac.uk/>

³⁸<http://www.adsabs.harvard.edu/>

³⁹<http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/>

⁴⁰<http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/>

tory Alliance (IVOA⁴¹) [51]; the public data releases from individual astronomical projects; the preprint dissemination from the *arXiv/astro-ph* server; and the rapid dissemination of results made possible by forward-thinking journals. Most of the data repositories are open-access compliant and enthusiastically promote the Open Data Movement. In addition to the data services, the IVOA offers the community a toolkit of online software for data analysis. Furthermore, the astronomical community is sensitive to the “digital divide”, thus allowing open access to astronomers in developing countries.

This leading community is now facing new kinds of difficulties to be considered by the other emerging knowledge groups, despite information on the variety of resources available. International pressure against the open access of astronomical data is appearing, and it seems there is not enough awareness to counteract it. Difficulties remain between journals and data centers, and most of the published data are never posted by the latter. New instruments are still being built with little planning or budgeting for data management, and there is little provision for digital preservation. [101, 102].

ITER: an emerging community

The International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER⁴²) is an international large-scale tokamak experiment aiming to demonstrate the possibility of producing commercial energy from magnetic confinement fusion, expecting to do so about a decade from now. One of the greatest challenges for the ITER systems will be the capability for analyzing, visualizing and assimilating the data to support decision making, and therefore, the scientific productivity of ITER will be inextricably linked to the power of its collaborative infrastructure. The need to support remote operation of the experimental facilities will require collaborative efforts involving over 2,000 scientists worldwide. The fusion community is moving towards the vision of remote hardware control of the experiment [65, 117, 118], and is aware of the importance of data curation before the launch of the experiment; e.g. the development of a set of software tools (MDSplus⁴³ for Model Data System) for data acquisition and storage. In fact, it is more than that as it pretends to be a methodology for the management of complex scientific data. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning the effort for implementing an atomic database infrastructure throughout the project, namely the “Atomic Data and Analysis Structure for Fusion in Europe” (ADAS-EU⁴⁴). This project aims to improve the effectiveness of data analysis in existing fusion experiments and prepare for ITER.

VAMDC

The Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre (VAMDC⁴⁵) is a multinational project launched in July 2009 involving 24 research teams from several countries of the European Union (Aus-

⁴¹<http://www.ivoa.net/>

⁴²<http://www.iter.org>

⁴³<http://www.mdsplus.org>

⁴⁴<http://www.adas-fusion.eu/>

⁴⁵<http://www.vamdc.org/>

tria, France, Germany, Italy, Sweden and the United Kingdom), Russia, Serbia and Venezuela. The Venezuelan node involves CeCalCULA and the IVIC Computational Physics Laboratory. Atomic and molecular data (A&M) are required in a wide variety of scientific fields, e.g. astrophysics, fusion plasmas, atmospheric physics and chemistry, environmental studies and quantum optics, and technological applications such as lighting, semiconductors, nanotechnology and molecular biology. The VAMDC intends to upgrade and integrate at least 21 A&M databases — TOPbase, TIPbase, OPserver, xstarDB and CHIANTI among them — in order to implement an interoperable cyber-infrastructure for the exchange of atomic and molecular data. Its principal investigator is Marie-Lise Dubernet (Université Pierre et Marie Curie and Observatoire de Paris).

The partners, roadmap and basic guidelines of the VAMDC have been previously described [91], but the following dimensions can be emphasized.

- **Network activities.** They are aimed at coordinating infrastructure activities between interdisciplinary fields and other relevant projects such as Astrogrid⁴⁶, ITER⁴⁷ and Europlanet⁴⁸. They are to promote the VAMDC services and installations involving data users from other disciplines such as astrophysics, atmospheric and fusion.
- **Services.** The VAMDC is establishing a cyber-infrastructure for A&M data producers and users, giving access to the main databases in an interoperable format, maintaining registries, dictionaries and demand nodes, and implementing distributed grid environments for applications and data reservoirs.
- **Joint research.** Interdisciplinary teams are developing computational tools to sustain the e-science platform of the VAMDC, defining standards, protocols and specifications for the storage, exchange, publication and mining of A&M data.

The VAMDC is addressing some of the chronic problems in A&M data activities [89]. For instance, due to the lack of funds, standards and common guidelines, outstanding problems in existing A&M database are interoperability and data interfaces which hamper productive searches and data mining. Data exchange has also been carried out in a somewhat informal manner (emails, undocumented ASCII files, peer-to-peer arrangements) even though standard formats from specific client disciplines (e.g. the FITS⁴⁹ astronomical data format) have been incorporated. In this respect, one of the initial VAMDC policies has been the release of an XML schema, referred to as XSAMS⁵⁰, for the exchange of A&M data which is at present under evaluation. Moreover, A&M data are stored in a variety of relational DBMSs using very diverse data models. Many of these DBMSs are not SQL standard packages but local developments that, in the long run, can compromise data integrity and regular updating procedures. In some cases data are not even housed in databases but as plain files in data centers, servers belonging to scientific journals or in departmental, project and personal web pages. Therefore, XSAMS

⁴⁶<http://www.astrogrid.org/>

⁴⁷<http://www.iter.org/>

⁴⁸<http://www.europlanet-eu.org/>

⁴⁹http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/heasarc/fits_overview.html

⁵⁰<http://www-amdis.iaea.org/xsams/about.html>




Figure 18: VAMDC virtual data-warehouse distributed structure.

becomes the key not only for data exchange but also for data identification and provenance when implementing a new generation of search engines.

In Figure 18 we show the structure of the VAMDC which may be visualized as a virtual data-warehouse addressing a collection of heterogenous, distributed web services. To facilitate end-user data mining, the warehouse contains registries, dictionaries, catalogs, workflows, metadata, a middleware and a uniform XML-based format for data transfers (XSAMS).

OP/IP perspectives within VAMDC

The current VAMDC project presents attractive possibilities for the OP/IP data activities, particularly with respect to data exchange and preservation and database upgrading and integration. One of the first tasks is to transpose the TIPTOPbase DBMSs, originally developed in fortran, to an open-source SQL package, namely MySQL. This work is currently in progress and will facilitate database maintenance and integration within the virtual federation, apart from making data manipulation more robust and bug-free and globalizing its query possibilities.

Data preservation is a relevant issue as most OP/IP members are retiring or close to retirement. Thus, the atomic data sets must be kept well-documented with metadata to ensure not only their future usage but also their identity for the new generation of search engines. The VAMDC is defining and establishing standard metadata and XML schemata which need to be adopted by the OP/IP. Furthermore, with regards to data activities, we have had very good experience in the past working with data centers (i.e. CDS) and supercomputer facilities (i.e. OSC, CeCalCULA), and perhaps these alliances should be cultivated even further in upcoming developments.

Within the VAMDC time scale, it is timely to complete and upgrade TIPTOPbase with new data. For instance, the original OP project neglected some chemical elements such as P, Cl, K and astrophysically deficient members of the iron group which could now be calculated and included in TOPbase. Also, the IP should perhaps reconsider treating the electron impact excitation of Ni in a similar systematic manner as Fe. With respect to radiative data and in order to generate accurate massive data sets, the atomic structure code AUTOSTRUCTURE can now be used to compute bound-bound relativistic A -values for transitions involving empirically corrected levels with high principal quantum numbers. Furthermore, with the recent inclusion of the Breit operators in BPRM, a revision of the opacities in intermediate coupling could perhaps be envisaged.

There is a final aspect that we must refer to, namely that of data-intensive application deployment. As atomic data sets become more voluminous, effective data mining will have to depend on innovative methods and computer tools. It will become *database-centric* where the application is now run close to the data residence rather than downloading the data sets to the place where the application is being run. At present atomic data-intensive applications, e.g. astrophysical spectra modeling codes (XSTAR⁵¹, CLOUDY⁵² and TLUSTY⁵³), are usually downloaded from a web site, installed locally and run on sequential processors. This scheme is limited by database volume and maintenance, and is difficult to adapt and tune to the new distributed (e.g. the grid) and virtual (e.g. the cloud) computing environments. Thus, new approaches for application deployment must be devised such as workflows (e.g. Taverna⁵⁴), grid portals (e.g. GENIUS⁵⁵) and virtual machines (e.g. VMware⁵⁶). Within the VAMDC project and with NASA support, we are using XSTAR as a case study of application deployment in the new cyber-infrastructure.

Concluding remarks

In our review of the data activities of the OP and IP, there are a few points we would like to briefly develop further. Among them would be the remarkable duration of these international collaborations which have spanned more than 25 years of active data production. Rather than praising their stability and cohesion, we would just like to emphasize that the computing of accurate data basically takes time and experience. Moreover, one would expect the data produced by these large-scale efforts to be definitive, but as discussed here, there is always room for data refinement, for upgrading the unavoidable approximations, for new emerging discrepancies and for periodic revisions. These are some of reasons behind their on-going status. With respect to addenda or revisions, we have suggested some firsthand cases, but the present solar abundance problem is indeed an incentive for re-examining the radiative properties, particularly those involving the inner shells, of some of the more complex ionic

⁵¹<http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/software/xstar/xstar.html>

⁵²<http://www.nublado.org/>

⁵³<http://nova.astro.umd.edu/>

⁵⁴<http://www.taverna.org.uk/>

⁵⁵<http://egee.cesnet.cz/en/user/genius.html>

⁵⁶<http://www.vmware.com/>

species. In any case, as shown by the OP, IP and OPAL, relevant scientific problems can actually be solved by curating and analyzing large volumes of data, and with the breathtaking evolution of ICT, these approaches are likely to dominate in the near future.

As we have illustrated here with the OP/IP, large data productions are usually carried out by global collaborations, i.e. multinational science groups that generate large volumes of data which are geographically distributed and maintained only during project life cycle. It is imperative to plan data preservation policies and protocols such that these efforts are not wasted in the long run. The increasing awareness to preserve and share data for use and reuse in knowledge creation has to support and promote the emerging Open Data Movement and its actions. As mentioned previously, we are confident that the current initiatives in the A&M community, e.g. the VAMDC, will seriously look into this problem. An initial step in the right direction has been the prompt release of an XML schema (XSAMS) for A&M data exchange. What is required now is to improve and normalize metadata identification, and since A&M data are used in different fields, key aspects are simplicity, flexibility and semantic inter-operability.

It is also interesting to point out the existing dichotomy of supercomputer centers and data centers. In our data activities in the OP/IP, we have worked successfully with both entities where their support, technical advice and security consulting were always invaluable, but they are in themselves very different in nature and in the services they provide. We are of the opinion that the essentials of the new cyber-infrastructure will promote the emergence of virtual distributed environments where high-performance computing will take place close to the data repositories. Thus, both supercomputer and data centers will have to evolve and perhaps merge, and the deployment of data-intensive applications will engage innovative schemes that could be somewhat disconcerting to both data producers and users.

In some of the data activities of the OP/IP we were lucky to collaborate with a first-class computer scientist who supported our database developments. Due to the complexities of the new cyber-infrastructure, which are beyond most computational physicists, the reliance on skilled computer scientists and interdisciplinary teams is likely to become vital in data dissemination. This would require a scale of funding well above the ones that were tapped during the course of the OP and IP, but it appears that funding agencies are now more aware of the importance of data projects.

Finally, we consider that the current trend for data dissemination and preservation points to the extensive use of DDCEs in conjunction with data factories, instruments and sensors. As previously mentioned, a DDCE is a set of services for capturing, curating, disseminating and preserving data from research projects conducted by knowledge communities such as those we are currently developing within the VAMDC project.

Acknowledgements

For the writing of the present review, ample use was made of the SAO/NASA Astrophysics Data System (ADS). I am indebted to Natalya Critchley and Keith Butler (Universitäts-Sternwarte München, Germany, and OP/IP member) for going over the typescript in detail. In the context of the OP/IP data activities, the long-term support of the Centre de Données astronomiques

de Strasbourg (CDS) and the Ohio Supercomputer Center (OSC) is gratefully acknowledged, specially the technical expertise, guidance and patience of François Ochsenbein (CDS) and Paul Buerger (OSC). The VAMDC is funded by the European Union within the FP7 initiative “Research Infrastructures – INFRA-2008-1.2.2 – Scientific Data Infrastructures”. The Venezuelan node has been supported by Corporación Parque Tecnológico de Mérida and by EELA-2 (E-science grid facility for Europe and Latin America).

References

- [1] **Adelman, S. J., Philip, A. G. D., Adelman, C. J.** Elemental abundances of the mercury-manganese stars HR 89 and 33 Geminorum. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **282**:953–957, 1996.
- [2] **Alecian, G., Tully, J.** Radiative accelerations in stars using atomic data from the Opacity Project. In *Astrophysical Applications of Powerful New Databases*. S. J. Adelman, W. L. Wiese (eds.), ASP Conference Series, Vol. 78, Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco, USA, 1995, pp. 439–442.
- [3] **Anders, E., Grevesse, N.** Abundances of the elements: meteoritic and solar. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **53**:197–214, 1989.
- [4] **Arzberger, P., Schroeder, P., Beaulieu, A., et al.** Science and government: an international framework to promote access to data. *Science*, **303**:1777–1778, 2004.
- [5] **Arzberger, P., Schroeder, P., Beaulieu, A., et al.** Promoting access to public research data for scientific, economic and social development. *Data Sci. J.*, **3**:135–152, 2004.
- [6] **Asplund, M., Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J., et al.** Line formation in solar granulation. IV. [O I], O I and OH lines and the photospheric O abundance. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **417**:751–768, 2004.
- [7] **Badnell, N. R.** Dielectric recombination of Fe^{22+} and Fe^{21+} . *J. Phys. B*, **19**:3827–3835, 1986.
- [8] **Badnell, N. R.** On the effects of the two-body non-fine-structure operators of the Breit–Pauli Hamiltonian. *J. Phys. B*, **30**:1–11, 1997.
- [9] **Badnell, N. R., Bautista, M. A., Berrington, K. A., et al.** Iron Project: atomic data for IR lines. In *Planetary nebulae in our galaxy and beyond*. M. J. Barlow, R. H. Méndez (eds.), IAU Symposium 234, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2006, pp. 211–218.
- [10] **Badnell, N. R., Bautista, M. A., Butler, K., et al.** Updated opacities from the Opacity Project. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **360**:458–464, 2005.

- [11] **Badnell, N. R., Seaton, M. J.** On the importance of inner-shell transitions for opacity calculations. *J. Phys. B*, **36**:4367–4385, 2003.
- [12] **Bahcall, J. N., Basu, S., Pinsonneault, M., et al.** Helioseismological implications of recent solar abundance determinations. *Astrophys. J.*, **618**:1049–1056, 2005.
- [13] **Bailey, J. E., Rochau, G. A., Mancini, R. C., et al.** Experimental investigation of opacity models for stellar interior, inertial fusion, and high energy density plasmas. *Phys. Plasmas*, **16**:058101, 2009.
- [14] **Bautista, M. A.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XX. Photoionization cross sections and oscillator strengths for Fe I. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **122**:167–176, 1997.
- [15] **Bautista, M. A., Kallman, T. R.** The XSTAR atomic database. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **134**:139–149, 2001.
- [16] **Bautista, M. A., Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., et al.** Atomic data for the K-vacancy states of Fe XXIV. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **403**:339–355, 2003.
- [17] **Bautista, M. A., Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., et al.** K-shell photoionization and electron impact excitation of Fe XVII–Fe XXIII. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **418**:1171–1178, 2004.
- [18] **Beagrie, N.** Digital curation for science, digital libraries, and individuals. *Int. J. Dig. Curation*, **1**:3–16, 2006.
- [19] **Beagrie, N.** E-infrastructure strategy for research: final report from the OSI preservation and curation working group. Technical Report, Office of Science and Innovation, London, 2007, pp. 1–40.
- [20] **Berrington, K. A.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. VIII. Electron excitation of the $3d^4\ ^5D_J$ ground state fine structure transitions in Ti-like ions V II, Cr III, Mn IV, Fe V, Co VI and Ni VII. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **109**:193–197, 1995.
- [21] **Berrington, K. A., Burke, P. G., Butler, K., et al.** Atomic data for opacity calculations. II. Computational methods. *J. Phys. B*, **20**:6379–6397, 1987.
- [22] **Berrington, K. A., Burke, P. G., Chang, J. J., et al.** A general program to calculate atomic continuum processes using the R-matrix method. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **8**:149–198, 1974.
- [23] **Berrington, K. A., Burke, P. G., Le Dourneuf, M., et al.** A new version of the general program to calculate atomic continuum processes using the R-matrix method. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **14**:367–412, 1978.
- [24] **Berrington, K. A., Kingston, A. E., Sawey, P. M. J.** The Belfast Atomic Data Bank, recommended data and the Opacity Project data base. *J. Physique IV*, **1(C1)**:299–302, 1991.

- [25] **Berrington, K. A., Tully, J. A.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XXIV. Electron excitation of Li-like Fe XXIV between the $n = 2$ and $n' = 2, 3, 4$ fine-structure levels. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **126**:105–111, 1997.
- [26] **Bhatia, A. K., Kastner, S. O.** Atomic data for a five-configuration model of Fe XIV. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer*, **49**:609–625, 1993.
- [27] **Bhatia, A. K., Kastner, S. O., Keenan, F. P., et al.** The Fe XIV spectrum: predicted line intensities and solar identifications. *Astrophys. J.*, **427**:497–510, 1994.
- [28] **Binello, A. M., Landi, E., Mason, H. E., et al.** A comparison between theoretical and solar FeXII UV line intensity ratios. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **370**:1071–1087, 2001.
- [29] **Binello, A. M., Mason, H. E., Storey, P. J.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XXV. Electron impact excitation of fine-structure transitions in the ground configuration of Fe XII. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **127**:545–553, 1998.
- [30] **Binello, A. M., Mason, H. E., Storey, P. J.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XXXI. Electron impact excitation of optically allowed and intercombination electric dipole transitions in Fe XII. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **131**:153–166, 1998.
- [31] **Borgman, C. L.** What can studies of e-learning teach us about collaboration in e-research? Some findings from digital library studies. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, **15**:359–383, 2006.
- [32] **Borgman, C. L., Wallis, J. C., Enyedy, N.** Little science confronts the data deluge: habitat ecology, embedded sensor networks and digital libraries. *Int. J. Digit. Libr.*, **7**:17–30, 2007.
- [33] **Burgess, A., Chidichimo, M. C., Tully, J. A.** On the interpolation of isoelectronic data. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **121**:187–190, 1997.
- [34] **Burgess, A., Tully, J. A.** On the analysis of collision strengths and rate coefficients. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **254**:436–453, 1992.
- [35] **Burke, P. G., Hibbert, A., Robb, W. D.** Electron scattering by complex atoms. *J. Phys. B*, **4**:153–161, 1971.
- [36] **Burke, P. G., Seaton, M. J.** Numerical solutions of the integro-differential equations of electron–atom collision theory. In *Methods of Computational Physics, Vol. 10*. B. Alder, S. Fernbach, M. Rotenberg (eds.), Academic Press, London, UK, 1971, pp. 1–80.
- [37] **Burke, V. M., Seaton, M. J.** Use of the Burgess sum rule in calculating cross sections for electron impact excitation of optically allowed transitions in positive ions. *J. Phys. B*, **19**:L527–L533, 1986.
- [38] **Butler, K., Badnell, N. R.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. LXVI. Electron impact excitation of Fe¹⁸⁺. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **489**:1369–1376, 2008.

- [39] **Butler, K., Zeippen, C. J.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. V. Effective collision strengths for transitions in the ground configuration of oxygen-like ions. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **108**:1–8, 1994.
- [40] **Butler, K., Zeippen, C. J.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. L. Electron impact excitation of Fe XIX. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **372**:1083–1087, 2001.
- [41] **Clark, R.** Database protection in Europe – recent developments and a modest proposal. *Data Sci. J.*, **6**:OD12–OD20, 2007.
- [42] **Coles, S. J., Day, N. E., Murray-Rust, P., et al.** Enhancement of the chemical semantic web through the use of InChI identifiers. *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **3**:1832–1834, 2005.
- [43] **Coles, S. J., Frey, J. G., Hursthouse, M. B., et al.** An e-science environment for service crystallography – from submission to dissemination. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, **46**:1006–1016, 2006.
- [44] **Collins, L. M., Martinez, M. L. B., Mane, K. K., et al.** Collaborative e-science libraries. *Int. J. Digit. Libr.*, **7**:31–33, 2007.
- [45] **Cunto, W., Mendoza, C.** The Opacity Project – the TOPbase atomic database. *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrof.*, **23**:107–118, 1992.
- [46] **Cunto, W., Mendoza, C., Ochsenbein, F., et al.** TOPbase at the CDS. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **275**:L5–L8, 1993.
- [47] **Däppen, W., Anderson, L., Mihalas, D.** Statistical mechanics of partially ionized stellar plasmas: The Planck–Larkin partition function, polarization shifts and simulations of optical spectra. *Astrophys. J.*, **319**:195–206, 1987.
- [48] **Delahaye, F.** From atomic data to stellar modelling. *Astron. Geophys.*, **49**:6.28–6.31, 2008.
- [49] **Dere, K. P., Landi, E., Mason, H. E., et al.** CHIANTI – an atomic database for emission lines. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **125**:149–173, 1997.
- [50] **De Roure, D., Frey, J.** Three perspectives on collaborative knowledge acquisition in e-science. In *Workshop on Semantic Web for Collaborative Knowledge Acquisition*, 2007, <http://eprints.ecs.soton.ac.uk/13997/>.
- [51] **Djorgovski, S. G., Williams, R.** Virtual observatory: from concept to implementation. In *From Clark Lake to the Long Wavelength Array: Bill Erickson’s radio science*, N. Kassim, M. Perez, M. Junor, P. Henning (eds.), ASP Conference Series, Vol. 345, San Francisco, USA, 2005, pp. 517–530.

- [52] **Dozier, J., Alexander, S., Courain, M., et al.** Preserving scientific data on our physical universe: a new strategy for archiving the Nation’s scientific information resources. Technical Report, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington DC, USA, 1995.
- [53] **Dufton, P. L., Kingston, A. E.** Electron impact collision rates for Fe XIV. *Phys. Scr.*, **43**:386–389, 1991.
- [54] **Duke, M., Day, M., Heery, R., et al.** Enhancing access to research data: the challenge of crystallography. In *Proceedings of the 5th ACM/IEEE-CS joint conference on digital libraries*, ACM, New York, 2005, pp. 46–55.
- [55] **Eissner, W., Jones, M., Nussbaumer, H.** Techniques for the calculation of atomic structures and radiative data including relativistic corrections. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **8**:270–306, 1974.
- [56] **Eissner, W., Kingston, A. E. (eds.)** Atomic Data Workshop: assessment of data for photo-ionization and photo-excitation and for electron impact excitation of atomic ions. Daresbury Laboratory, UK, 1988.
- [57] **Eissner, W. B. (ed.)** Atomic Data Workshop: low energy collision theory techniques for atomic excitation and radiative data. Daresbury Laboratory, UK, 1986.
- [58] **Fernley, J. A., Taylor, K. T., Seaton, M. J.** Atomic data for opacity calculations. VII. Energy levels, f values and photoionisation cross sections for He-like ions. *J. Phys. B*, **20**:6457–6476, 1987.
- [59] **Foster, I.** Service-oriented science. *Science*, **308**:814–817, 2005.
- [60] **García, J., Kallman, T. R., Witthoeft, M., et al.** Nitrogen K-shell photoabsorption. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **185**:477–485, 2009.
- [61] **García, J., Mendoza, C., Bautista, M. A., et al.** K-shell photoabsorption of oxygen ions. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **158**:68–79, 2005.
- [62] **Gray, J.** E-science: a transformed scientific method. In *The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery*. T. Hey, S. Tansley, K. Tolle (eds.), Microsoft Research, Redmont, USA, 2009, pp.xvii–xxxi.
- [63] **Gray, J., Szalay, A.** The world-wide telescope. *Commun. ACM*, **45(11)**:50–55, 2002.
- [64] **Gray, J., Szalay, A. S., Thakar, A. R., et al.** Online scientific data curation, publication, and archiving. Technical Report MSR-TR-2002-74, Microsoft Research, Redmont, USA, 2002, pp. 1–6. <http://research.microsoft.com/pubs/64568/tr-2002-74.pdf>

- [65] **Greenwald, M., Schissel, D., Burruss, J., et al.** Visions for data management and remote collaboration on ITER. In *Proceedings of 10th international conference on accelerator and large experimental physics control systems*, 2005, TH2.2-70. <http://www.fusiongrid.org/research/papers/greenwald-icalepcs-2005-talk.pdf>
- [66] **Grevesse, N., Sauval, A. J.** Standard Solar Composition. *Space Sci. Rev.*, **85**:161–174, 1998.
- [67] **Griffin, D. C., Badnell, N. R., Pindzola, M. S.** *R*-matrix electron-impact excitation cross sections in intermediate coupling: an MQDT transformation approach. *J. Phys. B*, **31**:3713–3727, 1998.
- [68] **Guenther, R., Radebaugh, J.** Understanding metadata. Technical Report, National Information Standards Organization Press, Bethesda, USA, 2004.
- [69] **Hey, T., Tansley, S., Tolle, K. (eds.)** The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery. Microsoft Research, Redmont, USA, 2009.
- [70] **Hey, T., Trefethen, A.** E-science and its implications. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A*, **361**:1809–1825, 2003.
- [71] **Hey, T., Trefethen, A. E.** Cyberinfrastructure for e-science. *Science*, **308**:817–821, 2005.
- [72] **Hibbert, A.** CIV3 – A general program to calculate configuration interaction wave functions and electric-dipole oscillator strengths. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **9**:141–172, 1975.
- [73] **Huebner, W. F., Merts, A. L., Magee, N. H., et al.** Los Alamos Scientific Report LA-6760-M, Los Alamos, USA, 1977.
- [74] **Hummer, D. G., Berrington, K. A., Eissner, W., et al.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. I. Goals and methods. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **279**:298–309, 1993.
- [75] **Hummer, D. G., Mihalas, D.** The equation of state for stellar envelopes. I. An occupation probability formalism for the truncation of internal partition functions. *Astrophys. J.*, **331**:794–814, 1988.
- [76] **Iglesias, C. A., Rogers, F. J.** Discrepancies between OPAL and OP opacities at high densities and temperatures. *Astrophys. J.*, **443**:460–463, 1995.
- [77] **Iglesias, C. A., Rogers, F. J., Wilson, B. G.** Reexamination of the metal contribution to astrophysical opacity. *Astrophys. J.*, **322**:L45–L48, 1987.
- [78] **Kallman, T. R., Palmeri, P., Bautista, M. A., et al.** Photoionization modeling and the K lines of iron. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **155**:675–701, 2004.

- [79] **Kanbur, S. M., Simon, N. R.** Comparative pulsation calculations with OP and OPAL opacities. *Astrophys. J.*, **420**:880–883, 1994.
- [80] **Karasti, H., Baker, K. S., Halkola, E.** Enriching the notion of data curation in e-science: data managing and information infrastructuring in the long term ecological research (LTER) network. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, **15**:321–358, 2006.
- [81] **Kelly, H. P.** Photoionization accompanied by excitation of Fe I. *Phys. Rev. A*, **6**:1048–1053, 1972.
- [82] **Lord, P., Macdonald, A.** Data curation for e-science in the UK: an audit to establish requirements for future curation and provision. Technical Report, JISC Committee for the Support of Research, Digital Archiving Consultancy Ltd., 2003.
- [83] **Lord, P., Macdonald, A., Lyon, L., et al.** From data deluge to data curation. In *Proceedings of the UK e-Science all hands meeting 2004*, EPSRC, UK, 2004, pp. 371–375. <http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/ukoln/staff/e.j.lyon/150.pdf>
- [84] **Luce, R. E.** A new value equation challenge: the emergence of eResearch and roles for research libraries. In *No brief candle: reconceiving research libraries for the 21st century*, Pub. 142, Council on Library and Information Resources, Washington DC, USA, 2008, pp. 42–51. <http://www.clir.org/pubs/reports/pub142/luce.html>
- [85] **Lynas-Gray, A. E., Seaton, M. J., Storey, P. J.** Atomic data for opacity calculations. XXII. Computations for 2472790 multiplet gf-values in Fe VIII to Fe XIII. *J. Phys. B*, **28**:2817–2827, 1995.
- [86] **Lyon, L.** Dealing with data: roles, rights, responsibilities and relationships. Consultancy Report, UKOLN, University of Bath, UK, 2007.
- [87] **Lyon, L., Coles, S., Duke, M., et al.** Scaling up: towards a federation of crystallography data repositories. Technical Report, UKOLN, University of Bath, UK, 2008, pp. 1–51. <http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/ukoln/staff/e.j.lyon/reports/Ebank3report.pdf>
- [88] **Mendoza, C.** Opacity Project: an overview and some preliminary results. *Rev. Mexicana Astron. Astrof.*, **21**:613–623, 1990.
- [89] **Mendoza, C.** Atomic databases. In *Atomic Data Needs for X-ray Astronomy*. M. A. Bautista, T. R. Kallman, A. K. Pradhan (eds.), NASA/CP-2000-209968, NASA HEASARC, Greenbelt, USA, 2000, pp. 167–171.
- [90] **Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., Bautista, M. A., et al.** Decay properties of K-vacancy states in Fe X–Fe XVII. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **414**:377–388, 2004.
- [91] **Mendoza, C., Nuñez, L. A.** Virtual Atomic and Molecular Data Centre. In *Proceedings of the First EELA-2 Conference*. R. Mayo, H. Hoeger, H. E. Castro, L. N. Ciuffo, R. Barbera, I. Dutra, P. Gavillet, B. Marechal (eds.), Editorial CIEMAT, Madrid, España, 2009, pp. 219–225.

- [92] **Mendoza, C., Seaton, M. J., Buerger, P., et al.** OPserver: interactive online computations of opacities and radiative accelerations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **378**:1031–1035, 2007.
- [93] **Michener, W. K., Brunt, J. W., Helly, J. J., et al.** Nongeospatial metadata for the ecological sciences. *Ecological Applications*, **7**:330–342, 1997.
- [94] **Moore, C. E.** Atomic Energy Levels (as derived from the analyses of optical spectra) Vol. 1. National Bureau of Standards Circular 467, Washington DC, USA, 1949.
- [95] **Murray-Rust, P.** Open data in science, 2008. <http://precedings.nature.com/>
- [96] **Nahar, S. N., Eissner, W., Chen, G. -X.** Atomic data from the Iron Project. LIII. Relativistic allowed and forbidden transition probabilities for Fe XVII. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **408**:789–801, 2003.
- [97] **Nahar, S. N., Oelgoetz, J., Pradhan, A. K.** Recombination rate coefficients for KLL dielectronic satellite lines of Fe XXV and Ni XXVII. *Phys. Scr.*, **79**:055301, 2009.
- [98] **Nahar, S. N., Pradhan, A. K.** Self-consistent R-matrix approach to photoionization and unified electron–ion recombination. *Rad. Phys. Chem.*, **70**:323–344, 2004.
- [99] **Nahar, S. N., Pradhan, A. K.** Electron–ion recombination rate coefficients and photoionization cross sections for astrophysically abundant elements. X. Ne VIII and Ne IX for ultraviolet and X-ray modeling. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **162**:417–427, 2006.
- [100] **Nahar, S. N., Pradhan, A. K.** Dielectronic satellite spectra of heliumlike iron and nickel from the unified recombination method. *Phys. Rev. A*, **73**:062718, 2006.
- [101] **Norris, R.** Can astronomy manage its data? *Eprint arXiv:astro-ph/0501089*, 2005.
- [102] **Norris, R., Andernach, H., Eichhorn, G., et al.** Astronomical data management. *Eprint arXiv:astro-ph/0611012*, 2006.
- [103] **Palmeri, P., Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., et al.** On the structure of the iron K edge. *Astrophys. J.*, **577**:L119–L122, 2002.
- [104] **Palmeri, P., Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., et al.** A complete set of radiative and Auger rates for K-vacancy states in Fe XVIII–Fe XXV. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **403**:1175–1184, 2003.
- [105] **Palmeri, P., Mendoza, C., Kallman, T. R., et al.** Modeling of iron K lines: radiative and Auger decay data for Fe II–Fe IX. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **410**:359–364, 2003.
- [106] **Palmeri, P., Quinet, P., Mendoza, C., et al.** Radiative and Auger decay of K-vacancy levels in the Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar, and Ca isonuclear sequences. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **177**:408–416, 2008.

- [107] **Palmeri, P., Quinet, P., Mendoza, C., et al.** Radiative and Auger decay data for modeling nickel K lines. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **179**:542–552, 2008.
- [108] **Pamyatnykh, A. A., Dziembowski, W. A., Moskalik, P., et al.** OP versus OPAL opacities: consequences for B star oscillations. In *Pulsation, rotation and mass loss in early-type stars*. L. A. Balona, H. F. Henrichs, J. M. Contel (eds.), IAU Symposium 162, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, 1994, pp. 70–72.
- [109] **Pelan, J., Berrington, K. A.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XXI. Electron excitation of fine-structure transitions involving the $3d^6 4s^2 \ ^5D$ ground state and the $3d^7 4s \ ^5F$ metastable state of Fe I. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **122**:177–180, 1997.
- [110] **Pilat, D., Fukasaku, Y.** OECD principles and guidelines for access to research data from public funding. *Data Sci. J.*, **6**:OD4–OD11, 2007.
- [111] **Pradhan, A. K., Nahar, S. N.** Accuracy of stellar opacities and the solar abundance problem. In *Recent directions in astrophysical quantitative spectroscopy and radiation hydrodynamics*. AIP Conf. Proc., **1171**:52–60, 2009.
- [112] **Rogers, F. J.** Occupation numbers for reacting plasmas: the role of the Planck–Larkin partition function. *Astrophys. J.*, **310**:723–728, 1986.
- [113] **Sabourin, M., Dumouchel, B.** Canadian national consultation on access to scientific research data. *Data Sci. J.*, **6**:OD26–OD35, 2007.
- [114] **Saraph, H. E.** Fine structure cross sections from reactance matrices. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **3**:256–268, 1972.
- [115] **Saraph, H. E.** Fine structure cross sections from reactance matrices – a more versatile development of the program jajom. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **15**:247–258, 1978.
- [116] **Saraph, H. E., Tully, J. A.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. IV. Electron excitation of the $^2P^o_{3/2} - ^2P^o_{1/2}$ fine structure transition in fluorine-like ions. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **107**:29–38, 1994.
- [117] **Schissel, D. P.** A vision for a collaborative control room for ITER. *Fusion Eng. Des.*, **83**:539–544, 2008.
- [118] **Schissel, D. P., Abla, G., Humphreys, D. A., et al.** Remote control of a fusion facility. *Fusion Eng. Des.*, **84**:1729–1733, 2009.
- [119] **Scott, N. S., Burke, P. G.** Electron scattering by atoms and ions using the Breit–Pauli Hamiltonian: an R-matrix approach. *J. Phys. B*, **13**:4299–4314, 1980.
- [120] **Scott, N. S., Taylor, K. T.** A general program to calculate atomic continuum processes incorporating model potentials and the Breit–Pauli Hamiltonian within the R-matrix method. *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, **25**:347–387, 1982.

- [121] **Seaton, M. J.** Outer-region contributions to radiative transition probabilities. *J. Phys. B*, **19**:2601–2610, 1986.
- [122] **Seaton, M. J.** Levitation. *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, **237**:107–123, 1996.
- [123] **Seaton, M. J.** Radiative accelerations in stellar envelopes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **289**:700–720, 1997.
- [124] **Seaton, M. J.** Diffusion of iron-group elements in the envelopes of HgMn stars. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **307**:1008–1022, 1999.
- [125] **Seaton, M. J.** Opacity Project data on CD for mean opacities and radiative accelerations. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **362**:L1–L3, 2005.
- [126] **Seaton, M. J., Badnell, N. R.** A comparison of Rosseland-mean opacities from OP and OPAL. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **354**:457–465, 2004.
- [127] **Seaton, M. J., Yu, Y., Mihalas, D., Pradhan, A. K.** Opacities for stellar envelopes. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, **266**:805–828, 1994.
- [128] **Simberloff, D., Barish, B. C., Droegemeier, K. K., et al.** Long-lived digital data collections: enabling research and education in the 21st century. Technical Report NSB-05-40, National Science Foundation, Washington DC, USA, 2005.
- [129] **Simon, N. R.** A plea for reexamining heavy element opacities in stars. *Astrophys. J.*, **260**:L87–L90, 1982.
- [130] **Smith, K. C., Dworetsky, M. M.** Elemental abundances in normal late-B and HgMn stars from co-added IUE Spectra. I. Iron-peak elements. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **274**:335–355, 1993.
- [131] **Storey, P. J., Burke, P. G.** Mike Seaton’s legacy. *Astron. Geophys.*, **49**:6.15, 2008.
- [132] **Storey, P. J., Del Zanna, G., Mason, H. E., et al.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. LVIII. Electron impact excitation of Fe XII. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **433**:717–730, 2005.
- [133] **Storey, P. J., Mason, H. E., Saraph, H. E.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XIV. Electron impact excitation of the Fe XIV fine-structure transition $^2P_{1/2}^o - ^2P_{3/2}^o$. *Astron. Astrophys.*, **309**:677–682, 1996.
- [134] **Storey, P. J., Mason, H. E., Young, P. R.** Atomic data from the IRON Project. XL. Electron impact excitation of the Fe XIV EUV transitions. *Astron. Astrophys. Suppl. Ser.*, **141**:285–296, 2000.
- [135] **Study Group for Data Preservation and Long Term Analysis in High Energy Physics (DPHEP).** Data preservation in high energy physics. Technical Report, *arXiv*, 0912.0255, 2009.

- [136] **Sur, C., Nahar, S. N., Pradhan, A. K.** $K\alpha$ transition probabilities for fluorinelike ions from neon to gold: ab initio relativistic coupled-cluster calculations. *Phys. Rev. A*, **77**:052502, 2008.
- [137] **The Opacity Project Team.** The Opacity Project, Vol. 1. Institute of Physics Publications, Bristol, UK, 1995.
- [138] **The Opacity Project Team.** The Opacity Project, Vol. 2. Institute of Physics Publications, Bristol, UK, 1997.
- [139] **Torrens, R.** Desarrollo de sistemas de información bio-climática. Master's thesis, Ingeniería de Sistemas, Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela, 2003.
- [140] **Trampedach, R., Däppen, W., Baturin, V. A.** A synoptic comparison of the Mihalas-Hummer-Däppen and OPAL equations of state. *Astrophys. J.*, **646**:560–578, 2006.
- [141] **Wiese, W. L., Smith, M. W., Glennon, B. M.** Atomic Transition Probabilities (H through Ne – a critical data compilation). NSRDS-NBS 4, Vol. 1, National Bureau of Standards, Washington DC, USA, 1966.
- [142] **Witthoeft, M. C., Bautista, M. A., Mendoza, C., et al.** K-shell photoionization and photoabsorption of Ne, Mg, Si, S, Ar and Ca. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, **182**:127–130, 2009.
- [143] **Xu, G. -H.** Open access to scientific data: promoting science and innovation. *Data Sci. J.*, **6**:OD21–OD25, 2007.
- [144] **Zeippen, C. J., Le Dourneuf, M. (eds.)** Third Atomic Data Workshop. *J. Physique IV*, **1(C1)**, 1991.
- [145] **Zhang, H. L., Sampson, D. H., Fontes, C. J.** Relativistic distorted-wave collision strengths and oscillator strengths for the 85 Li-like ions with $8 \leq Z \leq 92$. *Atom. Data Nucl. Data Tables*, **44**:31–77, 1990.